

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,748	11/12/2003	Steven L. Flickinger	17511 C	7557	
75	90 06/04/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
The Whitaker Corporation			DINH, PHUONG K		
Suite 140 4550 New Linden Hill Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wilmington, DE 19808			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/200-	DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Anntin-nd(n)				
		Application No.					
		10/706,748	FLICKINGER ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phuong KT Dinh	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the c ver sheet	with the correspondence addi	ress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply were reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MM (III), by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this com  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12 November 2003</u> .					
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	n)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>32</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	<u> </u>	, ,				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to large	·					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Production Disclosure Statement)	O-948) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,748 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

I

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 30, lines 2-3, "said housing of said receptacle" has no antecedence basis.
- 4. Claim 33, line 1, "said latching member" has no antecedence basis.
- 5. Claim 33, dependency appears incorrect.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-30, 34-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S.

Art Unit: 2839

Patent No. 6517382. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

- 7. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the flowing reasons.
- 8. The claims of the parent case are coextensive with and recited the same basic limitations as the claims of this case but differ only by obvious variation. For example claim 1 of the parent case recites as a receptacle for receiving a module and electrically connecting the module to a host circuit board wherein claim 1 of this case recites as a receptacle use with a receptacle connector mounted to a host circuit board

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 26-31 and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (U. S. Patent 4,352,492) in view of Hall (U. S. Patent 4,388,671).

Regarding claim 26, Smith (see figures 9-11) discloses module for electrically connecting to a mating connector mounted to a host circuit board the module comprising: a housing (see the attachment of figures 10 ad 11) having a front and back orientation and a top and bottom orientation, said housing having a top wall, a bottom wall, a back wall and side walls, each of said top wall, said bottom wall, and said side

Application/Control Number: 10/706,748

Art Unit: 2839

electrical connection with contacts of the mating connector, said pads being enclosed

walls being planar; a planar module circuit board 66 having pads suitable for forming an

by the planes defined by said top wall, said bottom wall, said side walls, and said back

wall. The back wall is shown in figures 4 and 5 at leadlines 67, 68. Smith discloses the

claimed invention except for a connector interface at the front of said housing, said

connector interface being adapted for receiving a connector of an electrical or optical

conductor. Hall discloses a connector interface at the front of the housing, the

connector interface being adapted for receiving a connector of an electrical or optical

conductor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to modify Smith to provide the connector interface at the

front of the housing as taught by Hall so as to supply signal to the system. The

references are applied for teaching of use of plug in type modules and these teaching

can not limited by the specific uses disclosed in the references.

Regarding claim 27, Smith (see figures 9-11) discloses said pads are disposed

on an edge of said module circuit board 66.

Regarding claim 28, Smith (see figures 9-11) said pads are adapted to mate with

said mating connector through movement of the module to said mating connector along

a plane parallel to the plane of said circuit board.

Regarding claim 29, Smith (see figures 9-11) discloses said back wall only

partially covers the back of said module circuit board such that said edge portion is

accessible from the back of the module along the plane of said module circuit board.

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claims 30, 34-37, Smith (see figures 9-11) discloses the claimed invention except for grounding contacts configured for electrical connection to said housing of said receptacle upon insertion of said module in said receptacle. Hall discloses the grounding contacts configured for electrical connection to the housing of the receptacle upon insertion of the module in the receptacle. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Smith to provide the grounding contacts configured for electrical connection to the housing of the receptacle upon insertion of the module in the receptacle as taught by Hall so as to supply signal to the system.

Regarding claim 31, Smith (see figures 9-11) discloses the claimed invention except for said grounding contacts are disposed at least on said top wall and said sides walls. Hall discloses as discussed above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 32 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the reference discloses an actuator slidably connected to said module and a latching member extending from said module for latching with a resilient latch of a receptacle, when said module is inserted into said receptacle, said resilient latch engages said latching member, and when said actuator is pushed backward, said actuator urges said resilient latch from said latching member, thereby allowing said resilient member to unload and urge the module from the receptacle.

#### Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong KT Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2090. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 -5, 5 days a week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Dinh

May 16, 2004.